

EDL Project #2002 -- Analysis Requirement

The basis for this analysis requirement is shown in reference (1), as the appendix.

The non-linear sinusoidal transmittance distribution, *

$$f(x) = T_0(1 - m \cos \omega_0 x)^{-\gamma} \quad (1)$$

is subjected to an operator which forms the second spatial derivative and recombines a percentage of it with the original signed. This produces

$$\begin{aligned} T(x) = T_0 & \left\{ (1 - m \cos [\omega_0 x])^{-\gamma} - \right. \\ & - cm\gamma\omega_0^2 \cos(\omega_0 x) [1 - m \cos(\omega_0 x)]^{-(\gamma+1)} + \\ & \left. - cm\omega_0^2 \gamma(\gamma+1) \sin^2(\omega_0 x) [1 - m \cos(\omega_0 x)]^{-(\gamma+2)} \right\}. \quad (2)** \end{aligned}$$

In an effort to ascertain the modulation and related harmonic strength, this will become the object in a coherent system, exactly in the manner outlined in reference (2). To compare theory with experiment it will be necessary to take the Fourier transform of equation (2). Assume a fluid gate with correct index match (and hence no phase term). The transform will be

$$f(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} T(x) e^{-i\omega x} dx. \quad (3)$$

* Radian spatial frequency, ω , is used in these equations by preference and will introduce no ambiguities.

** Note changes in sign from reference (1).

This first requires the square root of equation (2). From the previous work in reference (2) and from additional experimental work, the form of $\sqrt{T(x)}$ must be

$$\sqrt{T(x)} = \sqrt{T_0} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} B_m(c, \gamma, m) \cos n\omega_0 x , \quad (4)$$

a linear combination of the cosines of multiple angles. It can be expected that $B_m(c, \gamma, m)$ will be a series, hopefully in closed form, which is readily evaluated for given values of c , γ , and m . Once $\sqrt{T(x)}$ is in the form of equation (4), only the expansions for B_0 , B_1 , and B_2 are significant. See Note #1.

The series for B_1/B_0 is determined by dividing the one by the other. This is then reverted as a power series in m , as

$$m = g \left(\frac{B_1}{B_0}, c, \gamma \right) , \quad (5)$$

from which modulation can be determined from a physical measurement of $\left(\frac{B_0}{B_1} \right)^2$, c and γ .

The series from B_2/B_1 is next determined by the same process, but is not reverted. This permits calculation of the theoretical second harmonic strength from m, γ , and c for comparison with its measured value.

The Specific Problems

1. Expand equation (2) and extract the square root, winding up with a series in the form of equation (4) or equivalent. The following ranges of the parameter can be expected to appertain:

$$\frac{1}{2} \leq \gamma \leq 3.0$$

$$0 < m \leq 1.0 \text{ (closer to 1.0)}$$

$$0 \leq c \leq ?$$

Make no small-variable assumption involving these parameters!
The analysis must be general. See notes # 2 and 3.

2. a. Determine the specific series for B_0 , B_1 , B_2 , from which derive the series for B_1/B_0 . Revert this series to give a polynomial in B_1/B_0 , as

$$m = g\left(\frac{B_1}{B_0}, c, \gamma\right)$$

$\left(\frac{B_1}{B_0}\right)^2$ is measurable.

- b. Determine the series for B_2/B_1 , but do not revert.

See reference (3) (formula #50.) for specific equations needed in reversion.

References

25X1

3. Dwight, Tables of Integrals and Other Mathematical Data, 4th edition, New York, Macmillan, 1961.

Notes:

1. When $c = 0$, equation (2) reduces to equation (19) of reference (2), and resultant expansion, for the coefficients should be identical.
2. As a preliminary look into the problem, a truncated binomial expansion of equation (2) was effected, winding-up with a series of the form

$$T(x) = T_0 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n \cos^n(\omega_0 x).$$

The root may now be extracted by application of formula 51.2 of reference (3) (the coefficients for which require extending above the cited fourth order), using $\cos\omega_0 x$ as the variable. This power function in $\cos\omega_0 x$ can now be reduced to a linear combination of cosines of multiple angles, through application of the formulas 404.22 - 404.27 (and subsequent) of reference (3). Reduction to this form certainly gave the equivalent to equation (4), although incompletely because of the truncation. There is doubt about its practical usefulness -- computation of the coefficients promises to be exceedingly lengthy. However, the method is correct and it will produce the desired answer -- in theory.

3. The value of γ will vary experimentally, and its effect on the B 's must be computed for each case separately. The value of c and how it will eventually be determined is not known at this time -- nor its probable upper limit.